



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FII	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/728,229	1	2/03/2003	Carlos Gonzalez	SNDK.234US1	SNDK.234US1 7481	
36257	7590	09/28/2004		EXAMINER		
PARSONS HSUE & DE RUNTZ LLP				PORTKA, GARY J		
655 MONTGO SUITE 1800	OMERY	STREET	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
SAN FRANC	sco, c	A 94111		2188		

DATE MAILED: 09/28/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

D

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	\$,
	10/728,229	GONZALEZ ET AL.	A
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
·	Gary J Portka	2188	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a			
Period for Reply			•
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perioner in the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state than three months after the main earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	I. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a sply within the statutory minimum of th d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC ute. cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed into (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communi	cation.
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 03	December 2003.		
	nis action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	ance except for formal ma	tters, prosecution as to the mer	its is
closed in accordance with the practice under	r <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>15-26</u> is/are pending in the applicat	ion.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdo		,	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		÷	
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>15-26</u> is/are rejected.		•	
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	I/or election requirement.		
Application Papers			-1
9) The specification is objected to by the Exami	ner.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on 03 December 2003 is	s/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)[objected to by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr			
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attach	ed Office Action or form PTO-15	52.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 	ents have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority docume			10
3. Copies of the certified copies of the p		n received in this national Stag	le
application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a l		nt received	
See the attached detailed Office action for a r	ist of the definied copies in	, (1000110a.	
·			
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		v Summary (PTO-413)	
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/Paper No(s)/Mail Date 12/03/03. 	T	o(s)/Mail Date f Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
S. Patent and Trademark Office			

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 10/728,229

Art Unit: 2188

DETAILED ACTION

- 1. It is acknowledged that this is a divisional of application 09/718,802. The first sentence of the specification should be amended to state that that application is now US Patent 6,684,289.
- 2. Claims 1-14 have been canceled by Applicant. Claims 15-26 are pending.

Information Disclosure Statement

3. The information disclosure statement (IDS) submitted on December 3, 2003 (6 sheets) was considered by the examiner.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 5. Claims 15-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. In the second paragraph of claim 15 "at least one additional sector" is not clear because the claims have not detailed the sectors stored and so it is cannot be determined what the additional sector is added to. It is suggested to compare the at least one additional sector when user data is stored in overhead data designated areas to the number of sectors stored when it is not. Claims 16-20 incorporate this limitation by dependency.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

Application/Control Number: 10/728,229

Art Unit: 2188

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

7. Claims 21-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Conley et al., US 6,760,255 B2.

The applied reference has a common inventor with the instant application.

Based upon the earlier effective U.S. filing date of the reference, it constitutes prior art under 35 U.S.C. 102(e). This rejection under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) might be overcome either by a showing under 37 CFR 1.132 that any invention disclosed but not claimed in the reference was derived from the inventor of this application and is thus not the invention "by another," or by an appropriate showing under 37 CFR 1.131.

- 8. As to claim 21, Conley discloses the recited method of operating a memory system with simultaneously erasable blocks, wherein multiple sectors of user data are stored in a first group of blocks, and overhead information of attributes of a corresponding one of the first group of blocks and the user data therein are stored in a second group of blocks (see Conley Abstract, and col. 14 lines 4-30 and 46-50, where it is described that information regarding block characteristics and operation, necessarily attributes of both the blocks and the data therein, are stored in separate blocks).
- 9. As to claims 22-23, the information includes table of addresses as recited (see Figs. 13-14, and col. 17 lines 34-56).
- 10. As to claim 24 ECC is stored as recited (see col. 14 lines 31-36, Fig. 8).

Application/Control Number: 10/728,229

Art Unit: 2188

- 11. As to claim 25, count of times programmed is stored (see col. 14 lines 57-64).
- 12. As to claim 26, the first group does not contain the overhead information as recited.
- 13. Claims 21-23 and 25-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Sukegawa, US 5,673,383.
- 14. As to claim 21, Sukegawa discloses the recited method of operating a memory system with simultaneously erasable blocks, wherein multiple sectors of user data are stored in a first group of blocks, and overhead information of attributes of a corresponding one of the first group of blocks and the user data therein are stored in a second group of blocks (see Sukegawa Abstract, Figs. 1 and 2A-2C, col. 2 lines 19-37, and col. 4 lines 22-47, where it is described that two management tables are stored in the memory as individual blocks that indicate attributes of the blocks and data therein as recited).
- 15. As to claims 22-23, the sections cited above in Sukegawa show the table of addresses as recited.
- 16. As to claim 25, Sukegawa discloses a count of programming as recited (REWRITE COUNT DATA, Figs. 2A, 2B).
- 17. As to claim 26, the first group does not contain the overhead information as recited.

Conclusion

18. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Application/Control Number: 10/728,229

Art Unit: 2188

Patent No:

6,697,928 B2 Reserved file structures are "skidded" as required (Figs. 7).

19. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gary J Portka whose telephone number is (521) 272-4211. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9:30 AM - 6:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mano Padmanabhan can be reached on (521) 272-4210. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Gary J **P**ortka Primary Examiner Art Unit 2188

September 23, 2004